

Caplin & Drysdale, Chartered

202-862-5092 Direct to@candale.com

April 5, 2006

Jeff S. Jordan, Esq. **Supervisory Attorney** Federal Election Commission 999 E Street, NW Washington, DC 20463

Matter Under Review 5712

Dear Mr. Jordan:

Re:

Enclosed please find a Designation of Counsel signed by Senator John McCain in this Matter, as well as a Response to the Complaint. The complaint was received by staff in Senator McCain's office on March 22, 2006.

Sincerely Yours,
Trevor Patter/n.n.

**Trevor Potter** 

**Enclosures** 





# FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION Office of General Councel 900 E Street, NW Washington, DC 20463

## STATEMENT OF DESIGNATION OF COUNSEL. Please use one form for each Respondent/Whitese FAX (202):219-3823

	• : •	•	as frost a la		. ••		
MUR: _57	12			;	e taren		•
COUNSE	_ Trevor	Potter		• • •			
		Drysdale,				· · · · · · ·	٠.
ADDRESS	One Tho	ms Circle,	W, Suite	1100	v: 4.	.44	
		E: ( ) 202				•	
FAX: (	, 202-42	<b>-3301</b>	si e	•		भ रहे ।	•
	IN ISCOURS (	ned individual my notification if before the C	is with reflex o	on in the safe	Title	e Commiss	ion
RESPOND	ENTWITN	ESS NAME (P	RINT): Sem	stor Joh	n S. McCair	1	
MAILING A	VDDRESS:	241 Russel	1 Senate O	ffice Bu	ilding		
•	_	Washington	, DC 20510				
TELEPHO	NE — HOME	<u>:(</u>			<del></del>		

Information is being sought as part of an investigation being conducted by the Federal Election Commission and the confidentiality provisions of 2 U.S.C. § 487g(a)(12)(A) apply. This section prohibits making public any investigation conducted by the Federal Election Commission without the express written consent of the person under assettgation

## RESPONSE AT THE FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION FILED ON BEHALF OF SENATOR JOHN MCCAIN IN MATTER UNDER REVIEW 5712

#### BACKGROUND

Senator McCain agreed to appear as an "honored guest" and "speaker" at a political fundraising event co-sponsored by Californians for Schwarzenegger 2006 (Governor Schwarzenegger's re-election committee) and the California Republican Party. An invitation for this event was mailed to invitees, listing Senator McCain as a "Special Guest." The invitation contained a prominent disclaimer which stated that Senator McCain would be speaking at the event but was not soliciting any contributions, and it complied with FEC Regulations and followed the advice of relevant FEC Advisory Opinions (discussed in detail below). A clear copy of the mailed invitation is attached for the Commission's reference, as the copy that was attached to the complaint forwarded to Sen. McCain was barely legible.

Thereafter, for what appear to be perfectly predictable political reasons, the California Democratic Party filed a complaint with the FEC alleging that the invitation was defective, and attaching a press article in which Democratic Party officials are quoted making the same allegations. The quotation from Lance Olson, identified in the article as the California Democratic Party's General Counsel, gives the game way: Mr. Olson is quoted as saying that Senator McCain "is flouting, if not the letter, the intent of his own law. He couldn't raise that money for his own campaign; he shouldn't be able to raise it for somebody else." The apparent admission by Mr. Olson that the invitation is not a actual violation of the law, but rather allegedly of the law's "spirit" and therefore "should" be illegal belies the claim in the complaint that the Schwarzenegger invitation was out of compliance with federal law.

Senator McCain's well known preference is for campaign finance rules that limit individual contributions to reasonable amounts, and prohibit corporate and labor contributions to candidates and party committees. Those are the principles embodies in long-standing federal law, and in the Bipartisan Campaign Reform Act of 2002 co-sponsored by Senator McCain. However, we have a federal system of government, and numerous states have not adopted these principles. In those cases, Senator McCain's role as an active party leader and public official results in his participation in events for candidates and state party committees held under prevailing state law, provided that he ensures that he complies fully with the applicable solicitation restrictions of federal law in the process.

#### THE FACTS

The invitation from the Schwarzenegger campaign and the California Republican Party contained the following disclaimer, in shaded boxes designed to stand out on both the invitation itself and the reply card:

"We are honored to have Senator John McCain as our Speaker for this event. However, the solicitation for funds is being made only for Californians for Schwarzenegger and the California Republican Party. Senator McCain is not soliciting individual funds beyond federal limit, and is not soliciting funds from corporations or labor unions."

Senator McCain attended the event and spoke. He solicited no funds of any kind or amount during his remarks.

#### THE LAW

The Bipartisan Campaign Reform Act ("BCRA", of which Senator McCain was a cosponsor) provides that federal candidates and officeholders shall not solicit or direct funds in connection with any election unless the funds comply with the Act's contribution limits and prohibitions. 2 USC 441i (e) (1) (A) and (B). The Commission issued rules interpreting "solicit" and "direct" in 2002. 11 CFR 300.2 (m) and (n). After those regulations were invalidated in Shays v. FEC, the Commission issued new regulations, published in the Federal Register on March 20, 2006, redefining solicit and direct. 71 Fed. Reg 13926 et seq. Between the date of the first regulations in 2002, and that of the second in 2006, the Commission issued several Advisory Opinions that explicitly addressed the question of whether a federal candidate or officeholder may appear at a fundraising event for a candidate for state office or for a state party committee, and what notices or statements must be made in connection with such appearance, See FEC Advisory Opinions 2003-03, 2003-05, and 2003-36. As the Commission has summarized these Advisory Opinions, they "permitted Federal candidate or officeholders to attend and participate in a fundraising event for non-Federal funds held by State and local candidates, or by non-Federal political organizations, so long as the solicitations made by the Federal candidate included, or were accompanied by, certain disclaimers." 71 Fed. Reg. at 13930. In the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking for the 2006 rules, and then in the Explanation and Justification of those rules, the Commission stated that it was not necessary to revisit those Advisory Opinions. They accordingly may be relied upon by persons in the same position as the requestors, 2 USC 437f(c) (2).

The first of these Advisory Opinions was AO 2003-03, issued to Congressman Eric Cantor and various Virginia elected officials, who sought advice concerning Congressman Cantor's involvement in fundraising for candidates for state office in Virginia. The Commission analysis begins by noting the restrictions of 2 USC 441i (e), and then stating:

"The Commission notes, however, that section 441i (e) does not forbid a covered person from making any solicitation of funds in connection with a non-Federal election. The Commission understands section 441i (e) to provide that a covered person may make solicitations, but may not solicit funds that are outside the amount limitations and source prohibitions of the Act."

Addressing the question whether a federal candidate or officeholder may attend a fundraising event for a state candidate or party, at which non-federal funds are to be raised, the Advisory Opinion is clear:

"Yes, mere attendance at a fundraiser where non-Federal funds are raised cannot in and of itself give rise to a violation of section 441i (e) (4) or section 300.62. A covered person may participate in any activities at such a fundraising event provided the covered person does not solicit funds outside the Act's limitations and prohibitions." Question 3A.

The next issue is whether the federal candidate or officeholder may participate in the event as a "featured guest" or speaker. Here, the Commission concludes that he may, but that such participation may in certain circumstances constitute a solicitation which must be limited as to amount and source:

"Yes, Representative Cantor may speak at such an event, provided that by his own speech and conduct he complies with section 441i (e) (1) (B) and section 300.62 in the course of his participation in a fundraiser. Answer 3 D.

"Section 441i(e)(1) and section 300.62 do not apply to publicity for an event where that publicity does not constitute a solicitation or direction of non-Federal funds by a covered person, nor to a Federal candidate or officeholder merely because he or she is a featured guest at a non-Federal fundraiser.

"In the case of publicity, the analysis is two-fold: First, whether the publicity for the event constitutes a solicitation for donations in amounts exceeding the Act's limitations or from sources prohibited from contributing under the Act; and, second, whether the covered person approved, authorized, or agreed or consented to be featured or named in, the publicity. If the covered person has approved, authorized, or agreed or consented to the use of his or her name or likeness in publicity, and that publicity contains a solicitation for donations, there must be an express statement in that publicity to limit the solicitation to funds that comply with the amount limitations and source prohibitions of the Act. 2 U.S.C. 441i (e) (1) (B); 11 CFR 300.62." Answer 3 C.

Thus, if a candidate or officeholder DOES solicit funds for a non-federal event, he or she must make it clear that the funds he or she is soliciting are only those permitted under federal law. As the Commission states the rule:

"Yes. Representative Cantor may ask for funds in connection with a State election or direct funds in connection with such an election as long as he does not ask for funds that are in excess of the amounts permitted with respect to contributions to candidates under 2 U.S.C. 441a (a), or that are from sources prohibited by the Act from making contributions in connection with an election for Federal office. 2 U.S.C. 441i (e) (1)." Answer 1 A

Subsequent to Advisory opinion 2003-03, the Commission further elaborated on some of these same issues in Advisory opinion 2003-36, issued to the Republican Governor's Association. The Commission summarized its advice as follows:

"In Advisory Opinion 2003-03, the Commission addressed appearances, speeches, and solicitations by a Federal candidate or officeholder at fundraising events for non-Federal candidates where federally impermissible funds were being raised. The Commission interpreted the Act and regulations to permit oral solicitations, and signatures on written solicitations, by a covered individual, so long as the solicitations included or were accompanied by a message adequately indicating that the covered individual is only asking for Federally permissible funds. See 2 U.S.C. 441i (e) (1) (B); 11 CFR 300.62. The following is considered to be an adequate disclaimer: I am asking for a donation of up to \$5,000 per year. I am not asking for funds from corporations, labor organizations, or other Federally prohibited sources."

The Commission restated its position, in the converse, as follows:

"2. With respect to the RGA Conference Account, may a covered individual sign or appear on written solicitations, such as signing invitation letters, or appear as a featured guest or speaker at a fundraising event, where the donations solicited exceed the Act's amount limits or are from prohibited sources but the solicitation does NOT include a notice that the covered individual is not raising funds outside the amount limits and source prohibitions of the Act? [emphasis added]

No, the covered individual may not so participate under those circumstances. The requirements described above in response to questions 1.a, 1.b, and 1.c are applicable to the situations described in question 2, including the need for the notice that the covered individual is asking for funds only up to the applicable limits of the Act, and is not asking for funds outside the limitations or prohibitions of the Act. Answer 2

#### **ANALYSIS**

As reviewed above, regulations, and applicable Advisory opinions, make it clear that

- a federal candidate or officeholder may be a featured guest and speaker at an event for a state candidate or party
- the event may raise non-federal funds (those in excess of federal limits or prom sources not permitted in federal elections)
- the federal candidate, however, may not solicit non-federal funds at the event
- therefore, to the extent that the federal candidate solicits funds for the event, the communications must include "a message adequately indicating that the covered individual is only asking for Federally permissible funds." Advisory opinion 2003-36.

The solicitation at issue in this complaint met the standards established by The Commission and described herein. Senator McCain was identified as an "Honored Guest" and "speaker" in the invitation. The invitation contained a solicitation by the hosts (for non-federal funds, and also contained the specific statement that Senator McCain was not making the solicitation for those funds ("We are honored to have Senator John McCain as our Speaker for this event. However, the solicitation for funds is being made only by Californians for Schwarzenegger and the California Republican Party"). Arguably, the flat disclaimer that Senator McCain was not making the solicitation for funds should have been sufficient by itself. However, out of caution the invitation went on to restate the disclaimer recommended by the Commission in Advisory Opinions 2003-03 and 2003-36, in case anyone might think Senator McCain was soliciting funds despite the clear declaration to the contrary. Thus, the final line on the disclaimer read "Senator McCain is not soliciting individual funds beyond federal limit, and is not soliciting funds from corporations or labor unions."

Complainants allege that this disclaimer would have been clear if it had gone on to state the specific individual funds Senator McCain was NOT soliciting, such as funds in excess of \$2,100 per election, or \$4,200 for the primary and general, for a candidate, or in excess of \$10,000 for the federal account of a state party. However, having already stated that only the state party and Governor were soliciting funds at all; it would have been even more confusing to then add a laundry list of funds not being solicited by Senator McCain. Further, no matter complainant's preferences, the requirement of "notice that the covered individual is asking for funds only up to the applicable limits of the Act, and is not asking for funds outside the limitations or prohibitions of the Act" was explicitly met.

#### **CONCLUSION**

For the above reasons, the Federal Election Commission should find that the invitation to the fundraiser for Governor Schwarzenegger and the California Republican Party

complained of in MUR 5712 in fact complied with all requirements of federal law, and accordingly should dismiss the complaint as being without merit.

Respectfully,

Trevor Potter

Trevor Potter

Counsel

### SPRING INTO ACTION



with Governor Arnold Schwarzenegger and Special Guest Senator Youn Ne Cain

### Platinum

ADELE & BENY ALAGEM AVERY & ANDY BARTH KATHY & FRANK BAXTER BILL BLOOMFIELD, JR. TINA & RICK CARUSO KELLY & ROBERT DAY
JOAN & JOHN HOTCHKIS
CATHERINE & JOHN B. KILROY, JR.
THE NEW MAJORITY LOS ANGELES
ASHLEY & DAN S. PALMER, JR.

MARGIE & JERRY PERENCHIO
WILLIAM A. ROBINSON
FAYE & ALEX SPANOS
TERRY SEMEL



JAMES CAMERON
JAMI & KLAUS HEIDEGGER
MIKE MCGEE & OLGA CASTELLANOS-MCGEE
TAWNY & JERRY SANDERS
GREG STUBBLEFIELD

Silver

MICHELLE & TONY ANDERSON
DONNA TUTTLE & DAVID ELMORE
DAVE HELWIG
MICHAEL R. LOMBARDI
EVA & MARC STERN
BETTY & JOE WEIDER

### Bronze

MICHELLE & HAMID BAHER
RUTH & JAKE BLOOM
LINDA & JERRY BRUCKHEIMER
PETER CHERNIN
SARAH & BRETT DAVIS
BARRY FISHER
ERICA & ROGER GREAVES
JERI & KEN HARMAN

FRITZ HITCHCOCK
ROBERT W. HUSTON
VICKI & JIMMY IOVINE
SANAZ & SAIED KASHANI
GERALD L. KATELL
BLISS & PATRICK KNAPP
SUZANNE & ALLEN M. LAWRENCE
PAULA KENT-MEEHAN

NANCY & ROBERT PHILIBOSIAN
DAVID G. PRICE
GEORGE SCHAEFFER
CINDY & SANDY SIGAL
SHEILA & ROBERT SNUKAL
MIMI SONG
GAVIN HACHIYA WASSERMAN

SPRING INTO ACTION

with

GOVERNOR ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER

and Special Gent

SENATOR JOHN MCCAIN

THE BEVERLY HILTON
9876 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD
BEVERLY HILLS

MONDAY, MARCH 20, 2006
5:30 GENERAL RECEPTION AND SILENT AUCTION
6:00 HOST COMMITTEE RECEPTION
7:00 DINNER

**BUSINESS ATTIRE** 

CONTACT GINA BLOCK OR RENEE CROCE Tel: 310-450-2117 Fax: 310-450-1761

We are honoured to have Scenter John McCain as our Speaker for this event. However, the solicitation for finels in being sands only by Californium for Schwezmanagur and the Californium Republican Party. In accordance with federal law, Scenter McCain is not soliciting individual funds beyond federal limit, and is not soliciting funds from corporations or labor unions.

# "SPAING INTO ACTION" GOVERNOR SCHWARZENEGGER

SENATOR JOHN MCCAIN
MONDAY, MARCH 20, 2006 & THE BEVERLY HILTON

I AGREE TO GIVE/RAISE:							
PLATIKUM SPONSOR:	\$100,000 (\$44,000 to GF806 Plus \$55,400 to GRP) HEAD TABLE SEATING WITH GOVERNOR FOR 2 PEOPLE, 1 TABLE OF 10 WITH PREMIERE SEATING, 12 TICKETS FOR THE HOST COMMITTEE RECEPTION, 6 PHOTOS WITH THE GOVERNOR (2 PEOPLE PER PHOTO)						
С фогъ <u>въсивой</u> :	\$20,000 (\$44,000 to GF365 PLUS \$5,400 to GRP) HEAD TABLE SEATING WITH GOVERNOR FOR I PERSON, I TABLE OF 10 WITH PREMIERE SEATING, 6 TICKETS FOR THE HOST COMMITTEE RECEPTION, 3 PHOTOS WITH THE GOVERNOR (2 PEOPLE PER PHOTO)						
SILV <u>ER SPO</u> NSOR:	\$25,000 (\$22,300 to CF305 PLUS \$2,700 to CRP)  1 TABLE OF 10 WITH PREFERRED SEATING, 4 TICKETS FOR THE HOST COMMITTEE RECEPTION, 2 PHOTOS WITH THE GOVERNOR (2 PEOPLE PER PHOTO)						
🔲 Bronze <u>Sponsor:</u>	\$10,000 (to CF805)  1 Table of 10, 2 tickets for the Host Committee Reception, 1 Photo with the Governor (2 People per Photo)						
INDI <u>VIDUAL</u> TICKET( <u>s)</u> :	\$1,000 (TO GFEOS) - PLEASE RESERVE TICKET(S) AT \$1,000 EACH						
WE ARE UNABLE TO ATT	END BUT WILL CONTRIBUTE \$ TO CSF06.						
THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION IS REQUIRED:  NAME: SPOUSE:  ADDRESS:							
PHONE: FAX NUMBER:							
E-MAIL ADDRESS:							
	RETIRED:						
ANOUNT OF CONTRIBUTION TO	CESCS. S. August of Continues to CBb. S.						
Amount of Contribution to CF806; \$ Amount of Contribution to CRP; \$ CREDIT CARD CONTRIBUTIONS: MASTERCARD VISA AMERICAN EXPRESS							
CREDIT GARD #:	BY LAW IT WILL BE CONSIDERED A CONFORME BONATION.						
	NAME AS IT APPEARS ON THE GARD:						
IS THIS A CORPORATE CARD? YES NO IF YES, CORPORATION NAME:							
BILLING ADDRESS (IF DIFFERENT):							
CONTRIBUTIONS TO EACH COMMITTEE MUST BE MADE BY SEPARATE CHECKS AND MAILED TO:							
CALIFORNIANS FOR SCHWARDSHEEF 2005  TAX ID #59-5775483 FFFC #1251255 TREASURER *57ATE ID #610163 FEDERAL ID #C001450890							
C/O GINA BLOCK, 3110 MAIN STREET, SUITE 225, SANTA MONICA, CA 90405 - PHONE 310-450-2117 OR YOU MAY FAX THIS FORM TO 310-450-1761							
the Common Subminiscons on whether he shall be	ins to Californium for Reimorgangger are met distinctible for federal traceur ten purposes. This californium reims fem The mariness local constitution in CALAS in MASS (SEE Mr. the primary charles and SEE MR for the constal						
for Common Schwerzengert; or cleating is 1916. The machines legal ambiliation to CTA-64 is \$44,510 ft the planty charles and 221,100 for the general of the h.A. A contribute way contribute to both the princey and general decisions was call may which which which which prince is the princey charles and the princey charles and the princey charles as the princey charles up to the contributes that, they contributes resident from a contribute senting more dans 221,000 will be designed for the general election. Individuals, inchesses, corporation and general ING contributes on permissible. Contributes from public anglesses unless ING							
de su a contra como como como como como como como com							
hante. Specie and adult elithen may wish give \$15,000 by <u>organic</u> deak signed by the specie or adult shill or by ands and transisting authorized by the specie or adult shill. Please enthus againste chade or orall cool authorization.							

Collecteds Regulations Protys Contributions to Collected Regulations have a selected for federal human temporyment. There is no histen contribution to COP.
Corporate contributions will be used in Collected action desired and blocked and collected and collected and collected and any after the second in the contribution of Collected and any after the second protocol and collected and feet from the contribution of COP will be allocated as feetings, up to \$27,900 per collected and for feeting for productible non-direct condition reports proposes. Such contribution may also be used for federal Lorin account proposes, up to \$26,000 per individual, contribution or PAC dates.

We are knowed to have Spenter John McCain or our Species for this count. However, the collected on for Sende to being made only by Californians for Schwarzungger and the California Republican Party. In accordance with Sedent Low, Sender McCain is not califoling individual Sende beyond Sedent Limb, and in not califoling Sunds Sons conpositions or labor unless.